

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., a
Delaware corporation, and AMD
INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICES,
LTD., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware
corporation, and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,
a Japanese corporation,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 05-441-JJF

IN RE
INTEL CORPORATION
MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

MDL No. 1717-JJF

PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself
And all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs

v.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 05-485-JJF

CONSOLIDATED ACTION

PUBLIC VERSION
October 22, 2009

**SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF MICHAEL QUINN IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND
TO AMD'S AMENDED EXPERT REPORTS**

Of Counsel:

Robert E. Cooper, Esq.
Daniel S. Floyd, Esq.
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 229-7000

Joseph Kattan, PC
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 955-8500

Darren B. Bernhard, Esq.
Howrey LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 783-0800

Richard L. Horwitz, Esq. (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr., Esq. (#1023)
Potter Anderson & Corroon, LLP
Hercules Plaza 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants
Intel Corporation and
Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

Dated: October 11, 2009

PUBLIC VERSION
October 22, 2009

I, Michael Quinn, declare as follows:

1. I am a Managing Principal at Analysis Group, an economic, financial, and business strategy consulting firm retained by Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha (collectively, "Intel") in this matter. I have been retained by Intel as a consultant to assist in responding to AMD's expert report of Daryl Ostrander ("Ostrander report"). I have personal knowledge of the matters stated in this declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently to them.

2. I understand that a question has been raised about the initial declaration I submitted, on October 8, 2009, regarding the changes made in the amended Ostrander report that was served on Intel on October 6, 2009 (the "October 6 revisions"). Specifically, I understand that my initial declaration did not address how much additional time Intel needs to serve a thorough and complete rebuttal report to the Ostrander report with the October 6 revisions incorporated.

3. Based on my current assessment of the October 6 revisions, my team and I need at least three additional weeks past the current October 19, 2009 deadline to be in a position to serve a thorough and complete rebuttal report to the Ostrander report with the October 6 revisions incorporated. My experience with AMD's prior round of revisions to the Ostrander report was that there were additional revisions to the report beyond the ones AMD disclosed, and represented as complete. Intel was able to discover the additional changes because of my team's exhaustive and time consuming efforts to audit the Ostrander report after it was revised the first time. Therefore, one of the reasons my team needs additional time is to fully audit the amended report to determine the extent and scope of the October 6 revisions. Beyond assessing the differences, it is a time consuming process to understand them, to determine how the changes

impact the current draft of our report, to understand what needs to be added to our current draft, and to revise our report consistent with these understandings.

4. My three week estimate is informed by my team's experience in evaluating AMD's previous round of changes to the Ostrander report, as well as the current progress that my team and I have made in reviewing and evaluating the October 6 revisions. To the extent that we have not yet completed our audit of the changes, there remains the possibility that we may discover additional changes in the amended Ostrander report that were not disclosed by AMD, which, depending on their magnitude, could further impact our ability to serve a thorough and complete rebuttal report.

5. The nature of the October 6 revisions are such that it is not feasible for us to file our report in stages where we address the October 6 revisions in a separate, supplemental report.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Declaration was executed on October 11, 2009 in Boston, Massachusetts.



Michael Quinn